ARYAVART SHODH VIKAS PATRIKA
RNI TITLED NO. UPBIL04292
RNI REG. NO. UPBIL/2014/66218 **PP. No.- 132-135**
I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-20, No.- III, Issues-41, YEAR- July-Sept. 2026

Indian Conceptions of Perception, Personality and Self: A Psychological Analysis

DR. Shaifali Agarwal
Assistant professor, Psychology Department, Gokul Das Hindu girls College, Moradabad (U.P.) India

Received-23.08.2026, Revised-01.09.2026, Accepted-09.09.2026, E-mail:aaryvart2013@gmail.com

Abstract: ***Indian intellectual traditions contain extensive reflections on human cognition, personality, consciousness and self. Although these traditions developed within philosophical, ethical and spiritual frameworks rather than modern empirical psychology, they offer several concepts relevant to contemporary psychological inquiry. The present conceptual paper examines Indian perspectives on three interconnected psychological domains: perception, personality and self. The analysis draws primarily upon the Nyāya, Sāṃkhya, Yoga, Vedānta and Buddhist traditions. Indian accounts of perception generally conceptualise perceptual experience as an active process involving sensory organs, mind, attention, discrimination and consciousness rather than as simple reception of external stimuli. Personality is approached through concepts such as the three guna-sattva, rajas and tamas-and through samskaras, habits and motivational tendencies. The conception of self presents considerable philosophical diversity. Vedāntic approaches distinguish the enduring Atman from changing psychological processes, whereas Buddhist psychology challenges the assumption of a permanent self through the doctrine of anatta. The paper critically examines the relevance of these perspectives to contemporary psychology, particularly in relation to self-regulation, personality development, mindfulness, metacognition and psychological well-being. It argues that Indian psychological thought should neither be romanticised nor treated as a homogeneous system. Instead, its concepts can provide culturally meaningful theoretical resources for developing empirically testable psychological models. The paper concludes that dialogue between Indian knowledge traditions and contemporary psychological science may contribute to culturally sensitive and theoretically pluralistic psychology in India.***

Key words: Indian psychology, perception, personality,self, consciousness, guna, Atman, anatta, Yoga.

1. INTRODUCTION- Psychology seeks to understand human behaviour and experience through systematic study of cognition, emotion, motivation, personality and consciousness. Modern psychology has developed predominantly through Western intellectual traditions, resulting in influential theories such as behaviourism, psychoanalysis, cognitive psychology, humanistic psychology and contemporary personality science. However, systematic reflection on human experience is not unique to modern Western psychology. Indian intellectual traditions have investigated the nature of perception, cognition, personality, consciousness and self for centuries.

Indian philosophical systems developed sophisticated analyses of how human beings acquire knowledge, interpret experience, develop behavioural tendencies and understand their own identity. These analyses are relevant to psychology because they frequently examine processes that contemporary psychologists continue to investigate, including attention, perception, memory, motivation, self-regulation and subjective well-being.

The term Indian psychology requires conceptual caution. Indian philosophical traditions are diverse and do not present a single unified theory of mind. Nyāya, Sāṃkhya, Yoga, Vedānta, Buddhism and Jainism differ significantly in their assumptions concerning consciousness and selfhood. Therefore, it is more appropriate to examine Indian psychological conceptions in the plural.

The present paper focuses on three interconnected concepts: perception, personality and self. These concepts provide a useful framework for examining how Indian traditions understand the relationship between the individual and the experienced world.

2. Objectives of the Paper-

1. To examine major Indian conceptions of perception from a psychological perspective.
2. To analyse Indian approaches to personality and individual differences.
3. To examine contrasting Indian conceptions of the self.
4. To compare selected Indian concepts with contemporary psychological perspectives.
5. To identify the relevance and limitations of Indian psychological concepts for contemporary psychology.
6. To suggest directions for empirical research based on Indian psychological constructs.

3. Methodology- The present study employs a conceptual and theoretical research methodology. Selected primary and secondary sources from Indian philosophical and psychological traditions were examined through qualitative textual analysis. Major sources considered include the Upanishads, Bhagavad

I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-20, No.- III, Issues-41, YEAR- July-Sept. 2026

Gita, Yoga Sutras of Patanjali, classical Sāṃkhya-Yoga formulations and Buddhist psychological thought. Relevant secondary literature on Indian psychology and contemporary psychological concepts was also considered.

The paper does not claim empirical validation of metaphysical propositions. Instead, it analyses psychological dimensions of the selected concepts and examines their potential relevance to contemporary psychological research.

4. Indian Conceptions of Perception- Perception occupies an important position in Indian epistemological traditions. The Sanskrit term pratyaksha is generally translated as direct or perceptual knowledge. Indian thinkers were concerned not only with sensory experience but also with the conditions through which perception becomes knowledge.

The Nyāya tradition developed an elaborate theory of perception. Perception involves an appropriate relationship between the perceiver, sensory organ, object and cognitive process. This suggests that perception cannot be reduced to mere stimulation of a sensory receptor. This perspective has relevance to contemporary cognitive psychology. Modern psychological research demonstrates that perception is influenced by attention, expectations, previous experiences and contextual information. Individuals may therefore experience or interpret the same external situation differently.

Indian accounts similarly distinguish between sensory contact and meaningful cognition. The senses provide information, but the mind participates in organising and processing experience.

4.1 Role of Manas and Buddhi: Indian psychological thought often distinguishes different functions of the internal psychological apparatus. Manas is commonly associated with mental processing and coordination of sensory information, whereas buddhi is associated with discrimination, decision and understanding.

From a contemporary perspective, this distinction can be viewed as conceptually relevant to discussions concerning attention, cognitive processing, discrimination and executive functioning. However, the terms should not be treated as direct equivalents of modern psychological constructs. The Indian framework is embedded within a larger theory of consciousness and human development.

4.2 Perception and Mental Modifications: Yoga psychology places particular importance on the activity of consciousness. Patanjali's formulation concerning the regulation of citta-vrittis suggests that psychological experience is affected by continuous mental modifications.

From a psychological perspective, this raises questions concerning the influence of attention, memory, emotion and interpretation on experience. When mental activity becomes dominated by habitual patterns, perception may be interpreted through those patterns. This idea has contemporary relevance to research on cognitive biases, attentional processes and metacognition. Nevertheless, similarities should be understood as areas for comparative investigation rather than evidence that ancient Yoga had already established contemporary psychological theories.

5. Indian Conceptions of Personality- One of the most prominent Indian frameworks relevant to personality is the theory of the three gunas: sattva, rajas and tamas. The framework is prominent in Sāṃkhya philosophy and is elaborated in the Bhagavad Gita.

Sattva is associated with clarity, harmony, knowledge and balance. Rajas is associated with activity, desire, striving and attachment. Tamas is associated with inertia, passivity, obscuration and confusion. The three qualities are not necessarily fixed categories of people. They represent dynamic tendencies that may vary in strength. Consequently, personality can be interpreted as a changing configuration rather than a completely static structure.

This is potentially relevant to contemporary personality psychology, which distinguishes between relatively enduring traits and behaviour that varies across situations. The guna framework may therefore serve as a culturally grounded theoretical starting point for research into behavioural tendencies. Scientific use, however, requires operational definitions and psychometric validation.

5.1 Samskara and Habitual Tendencies: The concept of samskara provides another important dimension of Indian psychological thought. Samskaras are commonly understood as impressions or dispositions produced through previous experiences and actions. Repeated thoughts and behaviours may establish enduring tendencies. These tendencies may subsequently influence perception, motivation and action. This concept has interesting parallels with contemporary theories of learning, habit formation and behavioural conditioning. Nevertheless, samskara has a wider meaning within Indian philosophical systems and may involve ethical and spiritual implications that extend beyond contemporary learning theories.

I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-20, No.- III, Issues-41, YEAR- July-Sept. 2026

5.2 Personality and Action: The Bhagavad Gita provides an extensive discussion of action, motivation and psychological regulation. Human behaviour is examined in relation to desire, attachment, knowledge and the gunas. The principle of nishkama karma, or action without excessive attachment to its fruits, is particularly relevant to psychological analysis. It emphasises responsible action while reducing excessive psychological dependence upon outcomes. From a contemporary perspective, this raises questions about outcome dependence, intrinsic motivation, performance pressure and emotional regulation. These relationships can be examined empirically without assuming that the philosophical system and modern motivational psychology are identical.

6. Indian Conceptions of Self- The nature of the self is a central issue in Indian philosophical psychology. In the Upanishadic and Vedāntic traditions, Atman is associated with the deepest self or principle of consciousness and is distinguished from changing bodily and psychological states. A person may experience changes in bodily states, thoughts, emotions, memories and social roles while maintaining a sense of personal continuity. Vedāntic thought investigates whether this continuity can be attributed to an enduring Self rather than to changing psychological contents.

6.1 The Panchakosha Perspective: The Taittiriya Upanishad presents a layered account of human existence commonly interpreted through five koshas or sheaths: Annamaya (physical/material), Pranamaya (vital), Manomaya (mental), Vijnanamaya (intellectual/discriminative), and Anandamaya (associated with bliss). The model provides a multidimensional representation of human functioning. It does not constitute a modern psychological model in the technical sense, but it demonstrates that Indian thought did not necessarily reduce the person to a purely physical entity or a single mental faculty.

7. Buddhist Conception of the Self-A contrasting perspective is provided by Buddhism. The Buddhist doctrine of anatta, or non-self, challenges the idea of a permanent and independent self. The individual is analysed through changing processes rather than an eternal personal substance. The five aggregates-form, feeling, perception, mental formations and consciousness-are used to analyse experience. None is regarded as a permanent self.

From a psychological perspective, this approach is relevant to questions concerning self-concept and psychological flexibility. A rigid identification with thoughts, emotions or social roles may contribute to psychological difficulties, whereas recognising their changing nature may facilitate greater flexibility. The Buddhist position therefore differs substantially from the Vedāntic claim concerning Atman. Both are important within Indian psychological thought, but they should not be combined into a single theory.

8. Comparative Psychological Analysis- The Indian perspectives discussed above reveal several important themes. First, perception is not regarded as merely sensory reception; cognitive and attentional processes influence experience. Second, personality is dynamic. The gunas, samskaras and motivational tendencies describe patterns that influence behaviour but are not necessarily immutable. Third, selfhood is multidimensional and contested. Vedānta proposes an enduring Self, whereas Buddhism analyses the person as a changing process without a permanent self. Fourth, psychological development involves transformation. Indian traditions frequently emphasise self-awareness, discipline, regulation of mental processes and reduction of attachment. These themes have potential connections with contemporary research on cognitive appraisal, personality development, mindfulness, metacognition, self-regulation and psychological well-being.

9. Contemporary Relevance- Indian psychological perspectives have relevance to several contemporary areas. Self-regulation is addressed through discussions of attention, desire and behavioural discipline. Buddhist and Yogic traditions have influenced contemporary interest in mindfulness and awareness. The guna model provides a culturally meaningful starting point for research on behavioural tendencies, provided appropriate operational definitions are developed. Indian theories of self may broaden research on self-construal beyond exclusively individualistic models. Concepts such as equanimity, compassion, non-attachment, disciplined action and meaningful living may also contribute to culturally sensitive positive psychology.

10. Critical Issues and Research Gap- Indian psychological concepts require careful examination before incorporation into contemporary scientific psychology. First, there is substantial conceptual diversity among Indian traditions. Second, concepts such as sattva, samskara and Atman require clear operationalisation before they can be treated as psychological variables. Third, extracting concepts from their original philosophical systems can distort their meaning. Fourth, contemporary psychology requires systematic evidence concerning reliability, validity and relationships among variables. An important research gap therefore exists in developing culturally sensitive psychological models that retain the conceptual richness of Indian traditions while meeting contemporary standards of empirical investigation.

I S S N NO. - 2347 – 2944 (Print)
e–I S S N NO.- 2582 – 2454 (Online)
Vol.-20, No.- III, Issues-41, YEAR- July-Sept. 2026

11. Implications for Psychology- The integration of Indian psychological perspectives may have implications at theoretical, research and applied levels. Theoretically, they can broaden understanding of perception, personality and self. At the research level, they can generate culturally relevant hypotheses concerning self-regulation, well-being, mindfulness and personality. At the applied level, culturally meaningful concepts may contribute to psychological interventions, counselling approaches and educational programmes, provided their effectiveness is empirically established.

The objective should not be to replace contemporary psychological science with traditional philosophy. Rather, the goal should be a productive dialogue between different knowledge traditions.

12. Limitations- The present paper is conceptual and therefore does not provide empirical evidence for the psychological effectiveness of Indian philosophical concepts. The selected traditions represent only a portion of India's intellectual heritage. Interpretation of Sanskrit and Pali concepts into English also involves limitations of translation. Future empirical studies should avoid treating philosophical propositions as established psychological facts and should instead develop clear operational definitions and appropriate research designs.

13. Suggestions for Future Research- Future research may examine: (1) the relationship between guna characteristics and contemporary personality traits; (2) associations between mindfulness and self-concept flexibility among Indian adults; (3) the relationship between non-attachment and psychological well-being; (4) samskara-related habitual tendencies and behavioural regulation; (5) Indian models of self and collectivistic versus individualistic self-construal; (6) the role of Indian contemplative practices in emotional regulation; (7) culturally adapted positive psychology interventions based on Indian concepts; and (8) cross-cultural comparisons of Indian and Western conceptions of self and personality.

14. Conclusion- Indian intellectual traditions offer diverse and sophisticated conceptions of perception, personality and self. Their approaches demonstrate that human psychological functioning can be understood not merely in terms of observable behaviour but also through attention, consciousness, habitual tendencies, motivation and self-awareness.

Indian accounts of perception emphasise the interaction of sensory processes, mind and cognition. The theory of the gunas presents personality as a dynamic configuration of psychological qualities, while samskara highlights accumulated tendencies and habitual patterns. Vedāntic traditions distinguish the deeper Self from changing psychological states, whereas Buddhist psychology provides a contrasting process-based account through anatta.These perspectives should neither be accepted uncritically nor dismissed as purely historical philosophy. Their contemporary value may lie in their ability to generate culturally meaningful psychological questions. Systematic empirical investigation can determine which concepts can be operationalised within contemporary psychology and how they relate to established psychological constructs.

For psychology in India, engagement with indigenous knowledge traditions provides an opportunity to develop a more culturally responsive discipline. A scientifically rigorous dialogue between Indian psychological thought and contemporary psychological research may contribute to a broader understanding of perception, personality, self and human well-being.

REFERENCES

1. Dasgupta, S. (1991). A history of Indian philosophy. Motilal Banarsidass.
2. Iyengar, B. K. S. (1993). Light on the Yoga Sutras of Patanjali. HarperCollins.
3. Radhakrishnan, S. (1953). The principal Upanishads. George Allen & Unwin.
4. Radhakrishnan, S., & Moore, C. A. (Eds.). (1957). A source book in Indian philosophy. Princeton University Press.
5. Sinha, J. (1934). Indian psychology: Cognition. Motilal Banarsidass.
6. Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). The embodied mind: Cognitive science and human experience. MIT Press.
7. Verma, S. K. (Ed.). (1998). Psychology in the Indian tradition. Motilal Banarsidass.
8. Wallace, B. A. (2007). Contemplative science: Where Buddhism and neuroscience converge. Columbia University Press.
9. Woods, J. H. (1914). The yoga-system of Patanjali. Harvard University Press.

*****